


Do. No. 39.

30th January, 48.

Dear Datta,

Will you please refer to my confidential letter D.O. No. 23, dated the 16th January, 1948, regarding the proposed transfer of Prof. N. G. Chakravarti and let me know when I may expect to get a reply ?

Yours sincerely,



Dr. S. Datta, D.Sc., D.I.C., F.N.I.,  
Director of Public Instruction,  
West Bengal.



CONFIDENTIAL.

PRESIDENCY COLLEGE:  
Calcutta, the 15th January, 1948.

D.O. No. 23/Con.

Dear Datta,

Many thanks for your D.O. No. 19, dated the 6th January, 1948, which I showed to Dr. N. K. Sen, Head of the Department of Chemistry. I am giving below extracts from his written note on the subject:-

"Prof. Chakravarty is at present engaged in teaching Honours and Post-graduate classes and I have not received any complaint during the few months I am in charge of the Department. As regards his ability as a teacher and his work in the college, his confidential reports of previous years may kindly be consulted."

"Referring to para. 5 of D.P.I.'s letter the retention of Prof. Nani Gopal Chakravarty, here would be on condition that he should not be entrusted with higher teaching. This will be humiliating to him and derogatory to this Department.

Under the circumstances my views are -

(1) If he can be retained unconditionally he should be retained.

(2) If he cannot be so retained let him be transferred."

The opinion of the Head of the Department raises an important issue. In para. 4 of your letter you have stated that you "have reasons to believe that Prof. Chakravarty is not reported to be a successful teacher at least in Honours and post-graduate classes." I find the following entries on looking through previous records :-

1940: B.M.Sen (Principal) & M.Q.Khuda (Head of the Dept.)-  
"Quite a capable teacher."

1941: B.M.Sen & M.Q.Khuda - "His work in the classes has been found very satisfactory."

1942: B.M.Sen & M.Q.Khuda - "A very capable teacher and takes keen interest in students."

1943: B.M.Sen & M.Q.Khuda - "Very effective teacher. His work in the post-graduate departments has been very satisfactory."

1944: M.Q.Khuda (Head of Dept.) - "He is a very efficient teacher, willing worker and does his work very satisfactorily."

A.K.Chanda (Principal) - "A most valued colleague and a first rate teacher."

1945: A.K.Chanda (& M.Q.Khuda - "A valued colleague and an excellent teacher, hardworked and conscientious and though a good disciplinarian, he is a good 'mixer' who is keenly interested in the social activities of the college and justly popular both with his students and his colleagues."

1946: P.C.Mahalanobis and M.Q.Khuda - "I have formed a favourable impression on him. Sensible and has a sense of humour. A pleasant colleague."

1947: M.Q.Khuda and N.K.Sen - "He takes good interest in extra-academic activities and is useful in many ways. He has kept in touch with recent work and is thus a valuable teacher."

The views placed on record by successive Heads of the Chemistry Department and successive Principals of the Presidency College during the last eight years since 1940 testify to Prof. Chakravarti's ability as a teacher. I feel it is only proper that reasons which have led you to hold a different view should be made available to me so that I can make my decision as the Head of the Presidency College in a responsible manner.



I greatly appreciate your offering the suggestion that Mr. Chakravarti's lecture work should be limited to the 3rd Year Pass and Intermediate Classes only, as I should naturally like to have all possible help in deciding the programme of work in the College. I presume, however, that this suggestion does not imply that any conditions on the distribution of teaching work are proposed to be laid down from the Secretariat in arranging transfers or postings of individual officers to the Presidency College in future.

Yours sincerely,

*P. C. Chakravarti*

Dr. S. Datta, D.Sc., D.I.C., F.N.I.,  
Director of Public Instruction, West Bengal.



IMMEDIATE

D. O. No. 19

Confidential

EDUCATION DIRECTORATE

BENGAL



*I should like to see  
these two letters, and let me  
know the result.*

*P. Chakravarty  
8/1/47*

The 6th January, 1948.

Presidency College, Calcutta.
File No. ....
Date No. ....

Telegraphic Address :  
Instruction

Dear Mr. Mahalanobis,

Will you please refer to your demi-official No.361, dated the 23rd/24th December, 1947 on the subject of the transfer of Professor Nani Gopal Chakravarty from your college ?

2. I find that you have raised the question of prior consultation with the Principal in respect of any posting or transfer in your college but you will perhaps realise that this is not always possible. In this connection, I want to draw your attention to this office memorandum No.3102-A, dated the 9th September, 1947 in which the policy to be followed by Government in matters of transfer was communicated to you.

3. The S.E.S. post in Chemistry in the Bethune College has been raised to the B.E.S. cadre and immediate transfer of a fairly senior B.E.S. officer, who would fit in a Women's College from all considerations, was necessary. Prof. Chakravarty was therefore a natural choice. Provision for his successor was not immediately made as it was settled earlier that he would be on deputation for about a month in the Calcutta Corporation and we were planning to give you a much better officer about whose usefulness in the Presidency College there would be no two opinion.

4. Prof. Nani Gopal Chakravarty has been described to be an experienced teacher of proved ability but I cannot share the views expressed by you. He may be a "valuable asset" so far as the corporate life of the college is concerned but I have reasons to believe that he is not reported to be a successful teacher, at least in the Honours and Post-Graduate classes.

5. In...





- 2 -

5. In any case, if you press for his retention in the College, I shall have no objection but from what I know about him I feel that he should not be entrusted with Honours and Post-Graduate Teaching where he is found to be badly lacking in efficiency and his lecture work should be limited to the 3rd year Pass and Intermediate classes only. You may confidentially consult the responsible members of the University College of Science and let me know your considered opinion in this matter at a very early date.

Yours sincerely,

**Sri P.C. Mahalanobis,**  
**Principal, Presidency College,**  
**Calcutta.**

**SM:6/1/48.**

*Handwritten initials and date:*  
SM:6/1/48



Confidential

Chemical Laboratory  
Presidency College  
Calcutta.  
129/148.

Principal

Referring to para 5 of D. P. I's letter, the retention of Prof Nani Gopal Chakravarty, here would be on condition that he should not be entrusted with higher teaching. This will be humiliating to him and derogatory to this Dept.

Under the circumstances my views are :-

- (a) If he can be retained unconditionally he should be retained.
- (b) If he cannot be so retained, let him be transferred.

     If he is transferred, I would insist, however, that

- (1) he should be replaced by a suitable teacher capable of



undertaking higher teaching

(2) and that I would not be able  
to relieve him till the substitute  
is forthcoming.

M Sen

Head of the Department  
of Chemistry, Presidency College,  
Calcutta.

Dated  
the 14th Jan.  
1948.

M.M All the relevant papers are  
returned herewith.

M Sen  
17/1/48



CONFIDENTIAL

Head of the Chemistry Dept

I should be grateful if you will kindly  
let me know ~~about~~ a little  
more explicitly whether  
I should ask the D.P.I.  
(a) that he should continue  
in the Presidency College, or  
(b) agree to his transfer. The reasons for making  
Principal, your decision need not be forwarded to  
the D.P.I. *P. Mahalanobis 9/10/47*

Chemical Laboratory  
Presidency College  
Calcutta

The 8th January, 1948

With reference to D. P. I's D.O. No.19


- dated the 6th January, 1948, forwarded to me with  
your note of the 8th instant; regarding the  
transfer of Prof. Nani Gopal Chakravarty, M.Sc  
of the Chemistry Department, I beg to state that  
objection to his transfer from this College was  
raised on the ground that he is an experienced  
teacher who has been serving in this Department  
since 1926 and that his transfer without any  
provision for a suitable successor, in the middle  
of the session is was not desirable. This has  
been the case with the Store-keeper of this Dept.  
who was relieved on the 14th August, 1947 but no  
successor has yet been appointed in his place  
inspite of repeated reminders with the result  
that there is a great dislocation of the routine  
work of this Department



Prof. Chakravarty is at present engaged in teaching Honours and Post-graduate classes and I have not received any complaint during the few months I am in charge of the Department. As regards his ability as a teacher and his work in the college, his confidential reports of previous years may kindly be consulted.

If, however, in the interest of public service his transfer is considered necessary I would have no objection if a suitable successor is ~~immediate~~ immediately provided so that there may not be any dislocation of work of this department.

The original letter of the D.P.I is ~~xxxxxx~~ returned herewith.



Head of the Department of  
Chemistry

Presidency College

Calcutta.



DP. 361

Presidency College, Calcutta,  
23rd December, 1947.

24<sup>th</sup> Dec.

Dr. S. Dutt,  
Director of Public Instruction, West Bengal,  
Writers' Buildings, Calcutta.

Dear Dutt,

Your office No.4079A of 22nd December 1947.


I have just now been informed, immediately after taking over charge as Principal, that Mr.Nanigopal Chakravarti, Professor of Chemistry, is to be immediately transferred from the Presidency College.

I have ascertained from Dr. J.C.Sinha, who acted as Principal in my absence, that he was not consulted about this transfer. From the note of the Head of the Chemistry Department (copy enclosed) it will appear that he also is strongly against this change. Prof.Chakravarti has been a valuable asset to the athletic side of college life in addition.

No provision has been made for a suitable successor to Prof. Chakravarti in the Presidency College, and yet he is being sent to a post which apparently does not exist but is still to be created. It is disconcerting to find that work in the Presidency College is to be upset in such manner and without any previous consultation with the responsible authorities in charge.

It has been the tradition of this College that the Principal is invariably consulted in matters relating to postings and transfers which affect the College. The Principal is directly responsible for the work in the College and is in the best position to advise Government in these matters. It is therefore pertinent to enquire why a departure from an established and sound practice is being made in this instance. As an academic principle of great importance is involved, I should greatly appreciate having the matter authoritatively clarified.

Yours sincerely,

  
(P.C.Mahalanobis)



Principal

With reference to your note dated this day re: the transfer of Prof. N.G.Chakravarty, M.Sc., I beg to state that Prof.Chakravarty is an experienced teacher and has put in useful services for this Department both in the under-graduate and post-graduate classes for a long period. He is taking Industrial Chemistry classes in the 3rd and 4th year Honours as well as Physical Chemistry in the Honours and post-graduate classes. I am strongly of opinion that he cannot be spared in the best interest of this department. Moreover it is not desirable to relieve an officer until a suitable successor is available.

This has been the case with the store-keeper of this Department who was relieved long ago but no successor has yet been appointed in his place to the great inconvenience of this Department.

Sd/- N.K.SEN

23/12/47

Head of the Department  
of Chemistry, Presidency College,  
Calcutta.



EXPRESS.

Government of West Bengal.  
Education Directorate.

*Jan*  
*23/12*

No. 4079A

From The Director of Public Instruction, West Bengal.  
To The Principal, Presidency College, Calcutta.

Dated Calcutta, the 22nd December, 1947.

The undersigned is directed to state that it has been decided by Government that Babu Nani Gopal Chakravarty, Professor of Chemistry, Presidency College in the B.E.S. should be posted as Professor of Chemistry, Bethune College, Calcutta in the post to be sanctioned by Government vice Miss Debi Mukherjee, on study leave.

The Principal is accordingly requested to relieve Professor Nani Gopal Chakravarty and to direct him to join his new appointment either on the <sup>23rd</sup> 24th December, 1947 or on the 2nd January, 1948 without fail.

Formal orders of Government sanctioning this arrangement will be communicated to him as soon as they are received in this office.

*P. Banerji*

for Director of Public Instruction, West Bengal

*P. Banerji*  
*22.12.47*

*Office* Inform *DPG's* office that it is not *impracticable* desirable to relieve a person until his successor is available: *By*  
Also ask for the views of the *Head of*  
*the Dept.* *23/12/47*



Principal

With reference to your note

dated, this day re: the transfer  
of Prof. N. G. Chakraverty, M.Sc.

I beg to state that Prof Chakraverty  
is an experienced teacher.

He has put in useful services  
for this Dept both in the under-  
graduate & Post-graduate  
Classes for a long period.

He is ~~also~~ taking Industrial  
Chemistry classes in the 3rd  
& 4th year Hours & as well as  
Physical Chemistry in the Hours  
& Post-graduate Classes. I am  
strongly of opinion that he cannot  
be spared in the best interest  
of this Dept.



Moreover it is not desirable  
to relieve an officer until a  
suitable successor is available

This has been the case with the  
labour-keeper of this Dept. who  
was relieved long ago but no  
successor has <sup>yet</sup> been appointed  
in his place to the great inconvenience  
of the Dept.

M. L. S.

28/11/17  
Head of the Department  
of Chemistry, Presidency College  
Calcutta.



Confidential

The 14<sup>th</sup> August 1948

Dear Dr. Sen,

Will you kindly let me  
have your considered views  
as to the capacity of Prof.  
N. G. ~~Majumdar~~ Chakravarti as  
a teacher and his other  
activities in the college.

Yours sincerely,

J. D. Das



Confidential

Presidency College,

Department of Chemistry

The 14th August, 1947

Principal,

With reference to your enquiry dated the 14th July, 1947, regarding Prof. N. G. Chakravarty M.Sc., I beg to state that Prof. Chakravarty is a successful teacher and he is indispensable for other works of this department.



Head of the Department

Department of Chemistry

Presidency College

Calcutta.



CONFIDENTIAL.

PRESIDENCY COLLEGE:  
Calcutta, the 15th January, 1948.

D.O. No. 23/Con.

Dear Datta,

Many thanks for your D.O. No. 19, dated the 6th January, 1948, which I showed to Dr. N. K. Sen, Head of the Department of Chemistry. I am giving below extracts from his written note on the subject:-

"Prof. Chakravarty is at present engaged in teaching Honours and Post-graduate classes and I have not received any complaint during the few months I am in charge of the Department. As regards his ability as a teacher and his work in the college, his confidential reports of previous years may kindly be consulted."

"Referring to para. 5 of D.P.I.'s letter the retention of Prof. Nani Gopal Chakravarty, here would be on condition that he should not be entrusted with higher teaching. This will be humiliating to him and derogatory to this Department.

Under the circumstances my views are -

(1) If he can be retained unconditionally he should be retained.

(2) If he cannot be so retained let him be transferred."

The opinion of the Head of the Department raises an important issue. In para. 4 of your letter you have stated that you "have reasons to believe that Prof. Chakravarty is not reported to be a successful teacher at least in Honours and post-graduate classes." I find the following entries on looking through previous records :-

1940: B.M.Sen (Principal) & M.Q.Khuda (Head of the Dept.)-  
"Quite a capable teacher."

1941: B.M.Sen & M.Q.Khuda - "His work in the classes has been found very satisfactory."

1942: B.M.Sen & M.Q.Khuda - "A very capable teacher and takes keen interest in students."

1943: B.M.Sen & M.Q.Khuda - "Very effective teacher. His work in the post-graduate departments has been very satisfactory."

1944: M.Q.Khuda (Head of Dept.) - "He is a very efficient teacher, willing worker and does his work very satisfactorily."

A.K.Chanda (Principal) - "A most valued colleague and a first rate teacher."

1945: A.K.Chanda (& M.Q.Khuda - "A valued colleague and an excellent teacher, hardworked and conscientious and though a good disciplinarian, he is a good 'mixer' who is keenly interested in the social activities of the college and justly popular both with his students and his colleagues."

1946: P.C.Mahalanobis and M.Q.Khuda - "I have formed a favourable impression on him. Sensible and has a sense of humour. A pleasant colleague."

1947: M.Q.Khuda and N.K.Sen - "He takes good interest in extra-academic activities and is useful in many ways. He has kept in touch with recent work and is thus a valuable teacher."

The views placed on record by successive Heads of the Chemistry Department and successive Principals of the Presidency College during the last eight years since 1940 testify to Prof. Chakravarti's ability as a teacher. I feel it is only proper that reasons which have led you to hold a different view should be made available to me so that I can make my decision as the Head of the Presidency College in a responsible manner.



I greatly appreciate your offering the suggestion that Mr. Chakravarti's lecture work should be limited to the 3rd Year Pass and Intermediate Classes only, as I should naturally like to have all possible help in deciding the programme of work in the College. I presume, however, that this suggestion does not imply that any conditions on the distribution of teaching work are proposed to be laid down from the Secretariat in arranging transfers or postings of individual officers to the Presidency College in future.

Yours sincerely,

*J. Chatterjee*

Dr. S. Datta, D.Sc., D.I.C., F.N.I.,  
Director of Public Instruction, West Bengal.



Confidential.

PRESIDENCY COLLEGE  
CALCUTTA

The 14th January, 19 48.

16

D. O. No. 23

Dear Datta,

Many thanks for your D.O. No. 19, dated 6th January, 1948, which I showed to Dr. N. K. Sen, Head of the Department of Chemistry. I am giving below extracts from his written note on the subject :-

"Prof. Chakravarty is at present engaged in teaching Honours and Post-graduate classes and I have not received any complaint during the few months I am in charge of the Department. As regards his ability as a teacher and his work in the college, his confidential reports of previous years may kindly be consulted."

"Referring to para. 5 of D.P.I.'s letter the retention of Prof. Nani Gopal Chakravarty, here would be on condition that he should not be entrusted with higher teaching. This will be humiliating to him and derogatory to this Department.

Under the circumstances my views are -

- (1) If he can be retained unconditionally he should be retained.
- (2) If he cannot be so retained let him be transferred."

The opinion of the Head of the Department raises an important issue. In para. 4 of your letter you have stated that you "have reasons to believe that Prof. Chakravarty is not reported to be a successful teacher at least in Honours and post-graduate classes." I find the following entries on looking through previous records :-

1940: B.M.Sen (Principal) & M.Q.Khuda (Head of the Dept.) -  
"Quite a capable teacher"

1941: B.M.Sen & M.Q.Khuda - "His work in the classes has been found very satisfactory."



1942: B.M.Sen and M.Q.Khuda - "A very capable teacher and takes keen interest in students."

1943: B.M.Sen and M.Q.Khuda - "very effective teacher. His work in the post-graduate departments has been very satisfactory."

1944: M.Q.Khuda (Head of Dept): - " He is a very efficient teacher, willing worker and does his work very satisfactorily."

A.K.Chanda (Principal) - "A most valued colleague and a first rate teacher."

1945: A.K.Chanda and M.Q.Khuda - "A valued colleague and an excellent teacher, hardworked and conscientious and though a good disciplinarian, he is a good 'mixer' who is keenly interested in the social activities of the college and justly popular both with his students and his colleagues."

1946: P.C.Mahalanobis and M.Q.Khuda - "I have formed a favourable impression on him. Sensible and has a sense of humour. A pleasant colleague."

1947: M.Q.Khuda and N.K.Sen - "He takes good interest in extra-academic activities and is useful in many ways. He has kept in touch with recent work and is thus a valuable teacher."

The views placed on record by successive Heads of the Chemistry Department and successive Principals of the Presidency College during the last eight years since 1940 testify to ~~his~~ <sup>my</sup> ability as a teacher. In this situation I feel ~~that~~ it is <sup>not</sup> proper that reasons which have led you to hold a different view should be made available to me so that I can make my decision as the Head of the Presidency College in a responsible manner.

*Prof. Chakravarti*

I greatly appreciate your offering the suggestion that Mr. Chakravarti's lecture work should be limited to the 3rd Year Pass and Intermediate Classes only, as I should





PRESIDENCY COLLEGE  
CALCUTTA

The \_\_\_\_\_ 19

D. O. No. \_\_\_\_\_

- 3 -

naturally like to have all possible help in deciding the programme of work in the College. I presume, however, that this suggestion does not imply that ~~any~~ <sup>any</sup> conditions on the distribution of teaching work are proposed to be laid down from the Secretariat in arranging transfers or postings of individual officers to the Presidency College in future.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. S. Datta, D.Sc., D.I.C., F.N.I.,  
Director of Public Instruction, West Bengal.



Confidential.

Dear Datta,

Many thanks for your D.O. No. 19 dated 6.1.48, which I showed to Dr. N. K. Sen, Head of the Dept. of Chemistry. I am giving below extracts from his written note on the subject :-

"Prof. Chakravarty is at present engaged in teaching Honours and Post-graduate classes and I have not received any complaint during the few months I am in charge of the Department. As regards his ability as a teacher and his work in the college, his confidential reports of previous years may kindly be consulted."

Referring to para. 5 of D.P.I.'s letter the retention of Prof. Nani Gopal Chakravarty, here would be on condition that he should not be entrusted with higher teaching. This will be humiliating to him and derogatory to this Dept.

Under the circumstances my views are -

- (1) If he can be retained unconditionally he should be retained.
- (2) If he cannot be so retained let him be transferred."

The opinion of the Head of the Dept. raises an important issue. In para. 4 of your letter you have stated that you "have reasons to believe that Prof. Chakravarty is not reported to be a successful teacher at least in Hons. and post-graduate classes." I find the following entries on looking through previous records:-

(Principal) (Head of Department)  
1940: B.M.Sen & M.Q.Khuda - "quite a capable teacher"

1941: B.M.Sen & M.Q.Khuda - "His work in the classes has been found very satisfactory"



- 1942: B.M.Sen and M.Q.Khuda - "A very capable teacher and takes keen interest in students".
- 1943: B.M.Sen (~~Principal~~) and M.Q. Khuda (~~Head of Dept.~~) :  
"Very effective teacher. His work in the post-graduate and under-graduate departments has been very satisfactory."
- 1944: M.Q.Khuda (Head of Dept):  
"He is a very efficient teacher, willing worker and does his work very satisfactorily."
- A.K.Chanda (Principal): "A most valued colleague and a first rate teacher."
- 1945: ~~M.Q.~~ A.K.Chanda (Principal) & M.Q.Khuda (Head of the Dept):  
"A valued colleague and an excellent teacher, hardworked and conscientious and though a good disciplinarian, he is a good 'mixer' who is keenly interested in the social activities of the college and justly popular both with his students and his colleagues."
- 1946: P.C.Mahalanobis(Principal) & M.Q.Khuda (Head of the Dept)  
" I have formed a favourable impression on him. Sensible and has ~~had~~ a sense of humour. A pleasant colleague.
- 1947: M.Q.Khuda (Principal) & N.K.Sen (Head of the Dept.)  
"He takes good interest in extra-academic activities and is useful in many ways. He has kept in touch with recent work and is thus a valuable teacher.

The views placed on record by successive Heads of the Chemistry Dept. and successive Principals of the Presidency College during the last eight years since 1940 testify to his ability as a teacher. In this situation I feel that it is proper that reasons which have led you to hold a different view should be made available to me so that I can make my decision as the



Head of the Presidency College in a responsible manner.

I greatly appreciate your offering the suggestion that Mr. Chakravarti's lecture work should be limited to the 3rd year Pass and Intermediate Classes only, as I should naturally like to have all possible help in deciding the programme of work in the College. I presume, however, that this suggestion does not imply that any conditions on the distribution of teaching work are proposed to be laid down from the Secretariat in arranging transfers or postings of individual officers to the Presidency College in future.

Yours sincerely,

*P.M.*

84/1/48



Confidential.

*Many thanks for*

Dear ~~W.M.~~ Datta,

I showed your D.O. No. 19 dt. 6th January, 1948, <sup>which I showed</sup> to Dr. N. K. Sen, Head of the Department of Chemistry. I am giving below extracts from his written note on the subject:-

"Prof. Chakravarty is at present engaged in teaching Honours and Post-graduate classes and I have not received any complaint during the few months I am in charge of the Department. As regards his ability as a teacher and his work in the college, his ~~confidential~~ confidential reports of previous years may kindly be consulted."

"Referring to para. 5 of D.P.I.'s letter, the retention of Prof. Nani Gopal Chakravarty here would be on condition that he should not be entrusted with higher teaching. This will be humiliating to him and derogatory to this Dept.

Under the circumstances my views are -  
(a) If he can be retained unconditionally he should be retained.  
(b) If he cannot be so retained let him be transferred."

The opinion of the Head of the Dept. raises an important issue. In para. 4 of your letter you have stated that "you have reasons to believe that Prof. Chakravarty is not reported to be a successful teacher at least in Hons. and post-graduate classes." I find <sup>the following entries @</sup> on ~~looking~~ looking through previous records:

A.K. Chanda (1945):

1945.  
A valued colleague and an excellent teacher, hardworked and conscientious and though a good disciplinarian, he is a good 'mixer' who is keenly interested in the social activities of the college and justly popular both with his students and his colleagues.

Sd. A.K. Chanda,  
2.4.45.

P.C. Mahalanobis (1946):

1946.  
I have formed a favourable impression of him. Sensible and has a sense of humour. A pleasant colleague.

Sd. P.C. Mahalanobis,  
20.4.46.

M.Q. Khuda (1947):

1947.  
He takes good interest in extra-academic activities and is useful in many ways. He has kept in touch with recent work and is thus a valuable teacher.

Sd. M.Q. Khuda  
1.5.47.







~~M. Q. K. K.~~

~~B. M. Sen (Principal); M. Q. K. K. da (Head of Department)~~

- (8) 1943: B. M. Sen (Principal) and M. Q. K. K. da (Head of Dept).  
"Very effective teacher. His work in the ~~post~~ as  
postgraduate and undergraduate departments  
has been very satisfactory".
- (9) 1942: B. M. Sen and M. Q. K. K. da: "A very capable  
teacher and takes keen interest in students"
- (2) 1941: B. M. Sen and M. Q. K. K. da: "His work in the  
classroom has been found very satisfactory".
- (2) 1940: B. M. Sen and M. Q. K. K. da: "Quite a capable teacher"
- (5) 1944: ~~M. Q. K. K. da~~ ~~A. K. Chouda~~ M. Q. K. K. da (Head of Dept)  
He is a very efficient teacher, willing worker  
and does his work very satisfactorily  
A. K. Chouda (Principal): A most valued colleague  
and a first rate teacher.

1945:

1946:

1947: