

ABBREVIATIONS

2FI	Two factor interactions
ANN	Artificial neural network
AFM	Atomic force microscope
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
BBD	Box–Behnken design
BET	Brunauer–Emmett–Teller
CCD	Central composite design
df	Degrees of freedom
D–R	Dubinini–Radushkevich
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared
OM	Optical microscope
rpm	Revolutions per minute
RSM	Response surface methodology
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
TEM	Transmission electron microscope
XRD	X-ray powder diffraction
XPS	X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
TGA	Thermogravimetric Analysis
DTA	Differential thermal analysis
CIHFO	Ce (IV)-incorporated hydrous Fe (III) oxide
GO-CIHFO	Graphene Oxide tweaked Ce(IV)-incorporated hydrous Fe(III) oxide
β C-CIHFO	β -cyclodextrin modified Ce (IV)-incorporated hydrous Fe (III) oxide
mg	Miligram
°C	Degree Celsius
pH	Negative logarithm of the hydrogen ion concentration
min	Minute
mL	Milli litre
TDS	Total Dissolved solids
WHO	World Health Organisation

	List of Tables	Page No.
Chapter 1		
Table 1.1	Geological conditions and concentration of fluoride and its sources in different fluoride affected region of world based on Literature	13-15
Table 1.2	Prevailing concentration range of fluoride in groundwater and geological pattern in different places of India	17-18
Table 1.3	Criteria for Dean's Fluorosis Index	22
Table 1.4	Known Methods of Defluoridation and their Limitations	24-28
Table 1.5	Comparative study of different previously reported selective adsorbents for the removal of fluoride in terms of uptake capacity	31-35
Chapter 2		
Table 2.1	Ingredients used for preparation of GO incorporated CIHFO (GO-CIHFO)	48
Chapter 3		
Table 3.1A	Estimated kinetic parameters of Pseudo-first order (PFO) and Pseudo-second order (PSO) kinetic models for fluoride adsorption reactions with CIHFO, GO-CIHFO and β C-CIHFO at different concentration of Fluoride with solution pH 7.0 and at temperature 303K.	142
Table 3.1B	Estimated kinetic parameters of Pseudo-first order(PFO) and Pseudo-second order (PSO) models for fluoride adsorption reactions with CIHFO (Fluoride concentration - 10 mg.L ⁻¹), GO-CIHFO and β C-CIHFO at different at different temperature (Fluoride concentration -15mg.L ⁻¹) with solution pH 7.0.	143
Table 3.2A	Estimated kinetic parameters of Intra-particle diffusion Model, Elovich Model and Richenberg Model for fluoride adsorption reactions with CIHFO, GO-CIHFO and β C-CIHFO at different concentration of Fluoride with solution pH 7.0 and at temperature 303K	169
Table 3.2B	Estimated kinetic parameters of Intra-particle diffusion Model, Elovich Model, Mass Transfer and Richenberg Model for fluoride adsorption reactions with CIHFO (Fluoride concentration -10 mg.L ⁻¹), GO-CIHFO and β C-CIHFO at different at different temperature (Fluoride concentration -15mg.L ⁻¹) with solution pH 7.0.	170
Table 3.3	Isotherm parameters of fluoride adsorption reactions with CIHFO, GO-CIHFO and β C-CIHFO at pH 7.0 (± 0.2) at different temperatures.	181

Table 3.4	Thermodynamic parameters for fluoride adsorption reaction with of all three adsorbents (CIHFO, GO-CIHFO and β C-CIHFO)at pH = 7.0 (\pm 0.2)	185
Chapter 4		
Table 4.1	Some water quality parameters of the water sample before and after treatment through fixed bed of CIHFO packed column	209
Table 4.2	Some important parameters of breakthrough curves analysis with variation of fixed-bed height, rate of effluent discharge and variation of initial fluoride concentration in feed water.	220
Table 4.3	Thomas Kinetic Model Parameters Estimated for Fluoride Removal by CIHFO Packed Fixed-Bed Column	228
Table 4.4	The BDST Model Parameters Estimated For The Fluoride Removal by CIHFO Packed Fixed-Bed Column operation	230
Table 4.5	Experimental conditions and levels of independent variables	234
Table 4.6	CCD for three used independent variables and the observed response (breakthrough time: min) for Fluoride	236
Table 4.7	Sequential model sum of squares	237
Table 4.8	Regression analysis of CCD	239
Table 4.9	The Column performance indicators at Different Fixed Bed-Height, effluent discharge rate and initial fluoride concentration	248
Chapter 5		
Table 5.1	Comparative study between Langmuir fluoride adsorption capacity, Calculated E_{DR} value range and Adsorbent Dose required for treatment of natural groundwater samples obtained from experimental results for all three proposed adsorbents.	254

List of Figures		Page No.
Chapter 1		
Scheme. 1.1	Schematic presentation of different pathways of fluoride contamination in different sectors of environment	8
Scheme 1.2	Schematic presentation of tentative pathways involved in fluoride enrichment in groundwater from recharge to discharge areas	9
Scheme 1.3	Graphical position of fluoride affected countries in and around the World adopted from Yadav et al., 2018	16
Scheme 1.4	Map of overall fluoride distribution in India showing Rajasthan, Andhra Pradesh, Telangana are highly affected states.	19
Scheme 1.5	Geological Map of West Bengal	20
Chapter 2		
Fig. 2.1	Express five different types of graphs with their unique characteristics to identify the pattern of adsorption isotherm by BET theory.	53
Chapter 3		
Fig. 3.1(A)	Plots of FTIR spectra of pure HCO, HFO and CIHFO	80
Fig. 3.1(B)	Plots of FTIR spectra pure and fluoride adsorbed CIHFO	80
Fig. 3.2(A)	Plots of FTIR spectra of Graphene Oxide (GO).	81
Fig. 3.2(B)	Plots of FTIR spectra of GO- CIHFO	82
Fig. 3.3	Plots of FTIR spectra of fluoride adsorbed GO-CIHFO	83
Fig. 3.4	Plots of FTIR spectra of β C-CIHFO before fluoride adsorption	84
Fig. 3.5	Plots of FTIR of fluoride adsorbed β C-CIHFO	84
Fig. 3.6	Plots of powder X-ray diffraction patterns of CIHFO	85
Fig. 3.7	X-ray fluorescence pattern of CIHFO for the composition.	86
Fig. 3.8(A)	The powder X-ray diffraction patterns of GO	87
Fig. 3.8(B)	The powder X-ray diffraction patterns of GO-CIHFO	87
Fig. 3.9	The powder X-ray diffraction patterns of β C-CIHFO	88

Fig. 3.10(A)	Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of CIHFO.	89
Fig. 3.10(B)	Differential Thermal (DT) analysis of CIHFO	90
Fig. 3.11(A)	Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of GO-CIHFO	91
Fig. 3.11(B)	Differential Thermal (DT) analysis of GO-CIHFO	92
Fig. 3.12(A)	Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of β C-CIHFO	92
Fig. 3.12(B)	Differential Thermal (DT) analysis of β C-CIHFO	93
Fig.3.13	(A-C) Optical microscopic images and (D-E) Scanning electron microscopic images of CIHFO indifferent magnifications	95
Fig. 3.14	SEM-EDX spectrum of CIHFO NCs	96
Fig. 3.15	(A-C) Optical microscopic images and (D-F) Scanning electron microscopic images of GO-CIHFO in different magnifications	97
Fig. 3.16	SEM-EDX spectrum of GO-CIHFO NCs	98
Fig. 3.17	(A-B)Optical microscopic images and (C-D) Scanning electron microscopic images of β C-CIHFO in different magnification.	99
Fig. 3.18	SEM - EDX spectrum of β C-CIHFO NCs	100
Fig. 3.19	(A) TEM images of CIHFO (20 nm scale); (B) TEM images of CIHFO (5 nm scale);(C) TEM images of CIHFO (5 nm scale with prominent figure print like structure; (D) SAED image obtained from TEM analysis of CIHFO (50 nm scale).	103
Fig. 3.20	(A) TEM images of GO-CIHFO (0.2 μ m scale); (B) TEM images of GO-CIHFO (100 nm scale);(C) TEM images of GO-CIHFO (50 nm scale);(D)and (E)TEM images of GO-CIHFO with prominent figure print like structure; (F) with SAED image of TEM images of GO-CIHFO (50 nm scale).	104
Fig. 3.21	TEM-EDX spectrum of GO-CIHFO Nano-composite including quantification results.	105
Fig. 3.22	Elemental mapping of C,O, Fe, and Ce respectively obtained from TEM analysis of GO-CIHFO	105

Fig. 3.23	(A) TEM image of β C-CIHFO (50 nm scale); (B) TEM image of β C-CIHFO (20 nm scale);(C-D) TEM images of β C-CIHFO (5nm scale);(E)TEM images of β C-CIHFO (2 nm scale)with prominent figure print like structure; (F) with SAED image of TEM analysis of β C-CIHFO (50 nm scale).	106
Fig. 3.24	EDX spectrum of β C-CIHFO NCs including quantification results.	107
Fig. 3.25	Selected area (inset) of β C-CIHFO NCs for elemental mapping of C, O, Fe and Ce throughout the selected area.	107
Fig. 3.26	(A) Atomic Force Microscopic image of CIHFO 3D view;(B) 2D view; (C) Height Distribution profile from the 2D image; (D) an extracted profile from the 2D image; (E) Length measurement.	109
Fig. 3.27	(A) Atomic force microscopic images of surface of GO-CIHFO in 2D view; (B) in 3D view; (C) an extracted profile from the 2D image and (D) Height Distribution profile from the 2D image; (E) the particle size distribution range of GO-CIHFO.	110
Fig. 3.28	(A) Atomic force microscopic images of surface of β C-CIHFO in 2D view; (B) in 3D view;(C) an extracted profile from the 2D image and (D) Height Distribution profile from the 2D image; (E) the particle size distribution range of β C-CIHFO.	111
Fig. 3.29(A)	Raman Spectra of GO	113
Fig. 3.29 (B)	Raman Spectra of GO-CIHFO	113
Fig. 3.30	Plots of N ₂ (vapour) (cm ³ . g ⁻¹) adsorbed and desorbed against relative pressure for the BET isotherm plots of CIHFO for fluoride adsorption.	115
Fig. 3.31	(A) and (B) Plots of N ₂ (vapour) (cm ³ .g ⁻¹) adsorbed and desorbed against relative pressure for the BET isotherm plots of GO-CIHFO before fluoride adsorption and after fluoride adsorption upon GO-CIHFO. (C) Represents	117

	details of surface area change, pore size, pore volume before and after fluoride adsorption upon GO-CIHFO.	
Fig. 3.32	(A) and (B) Plots of N_2 (vapour) ($cm^3 \cdot g^{-1}$) adsorbed and desorbed against relative pressure for the BET isotherm plots of β C-CIHFO before fluoride adsorption and after fluoride adsorption upon β C-CIHFO. (C) Represents details of surface area change, pore size, pore volume before and after fluoride adsorption upon β C-CIHFO.	118
Fig. 3.33	XPS spectrum of the CIHFO shows (A) Full spectrum, (B) C 1s spectrum, (C) O 1s spectrum, (D) Fe 2p spectrum and (E) Ce 3d spectrum.	120
Fig. 3.34	Effect of Fe:Ce mole ratio variation on fluoride adsorption capacity of when adsorbent dose: 0.05g per 100 mL of $15.0 \text{ mg} \cdot L^{-1}$ fluoride solution in pH: $7.0 (\pm 0.2)$, agitation time: 60 min.	121
Fig. 3.35	Effect of calcined temperature on fluoride adsorption capacity of CIHFO (Fe/Ce -1.0:0.5) when adsorbent dose: 0.05g per 100 mL of $15.0 \text{ mg} \cdot L^{-1}$ fluoride solution in pH: $7.0 (\pm 0.2)$, agitation time: 60 min.	122
Fig. 3.36	Study of Percentage variation of Graphene Oxide in association within CIHFO and its effect upon fluoride adsorption efficacy (Adsorbent dose: 0.05 g per 50 mL of $10.0 \text{ mg} \cdot L^{-1}$ fluoride solution in pH: $7.0 (\pm 0.2)$, agitation time: 120 min.	123
Fig. 3.37	Study of effect of β -CD Molar ratio variation within CIHFO and its effect upon fluoride adsorption efficacy (Adsorbent dose: 0.01 g per 50mL of $10.0 \text{ mg} \cdot L^{-1}$ fluoride solution in both pH: $3.0 (\pm 0.2)$, and pH: $7.0 (\pm 0.2)$, agitation time: 120 min.)	125
Fig. 3.38	Effect of CIHFO dose variation on the fluoride adsorption capacity and percentage of fluoride removal in three different concentrations at pH $7(\pm 2)$.	126

Fig. 3.39	Effect of GO-CIHFO dose variation on the fluoride adsorption capacity and percentage of fluoride removal in two different concentrations at pH 7(\pm 2).	127
Fig. 3.40	Effect of β C-CIHFO dose variation on the fluoride adsorption capacity and percentage of fluoride removal at pH 3.0(\pm 2) and pH 7.0 (\pm 2) respectively.	127
Fig. 3.41	(A-C) Plots of Initial solution pH versus fluoride adsorption capacity to evaluate effect of initial pH upon fluoride adsorption of three synthesized adsorbents CIHFO, GO-CIHFO and β C-CIHFO respectively.	129-130
Fig. 3.42	(A-C) Plot of Δ pH versus pH_i for the estimation of pH_{ZPC} of the adsorbent CIHFO, GO-CIHFO and β C-CIHFO respectively.	131-132
Fig. 3.43 (A)	The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of CIHFO at any time, Q_t (mg. g^{-1}) versus time, t (min) including non-linear pseudo first order (PFO) (—) at three different concentrations (10 $mg.L^{-1}$, 15 $mg.L^{-1}$ and 20 $mg.L^{-1}$) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0;	134
Fig. 3.43(B)	The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of CIHFO at any time, Q_t (mg. g^{-1}) versus time, t (min) including non-linear pseudo first order (PFO) (—) at three temperature (293K, 303 K and 313 K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 10 $mg.L^{-1}$ (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0.	134
Fig. 3.44(A)	The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of CIHFO at any time, Q_t (mg. g^{-1}) versus time, t (min) including non-linear pseudo second order (PSO) (—) at three different concentrations (10 $mg.L^{-1}$, 15 $mg.L^{-1}$ and 20 $mg.L^{-1}$) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0.	135
Fig.3.44 (B)	The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of CIHFO at any time, Q_t (mg. g^{-1}) versus time, t (min) including non-linear pseudo second order (PSO) (—) at three temperature (293K,303 K and 313 K) respectively with	135

- active fluoride concentration 10 mg.L^{-1} (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0.
- Fig. 3.45(A) The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of GO-CIHFO at any time, $Q_t (\text{mg. g}^{-1})$ versus time, $t (\text{min})$ including non-linear pseudo first order (PFO) (—) at three different concentrations (5 mg.L^{-1} , 10 mg.L^{-1} and 15 mg.L^{-1}) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0. 138
- Fig.3.45 (B) The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of GO-CIHFO at any time, $Q_t (\text{mg. g}^{-1})$ versus time, $t (\text{min})$ including non-linear pseudo first order (PFO) (—) at three temperature (288K , 303 K and 313 K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L^{-1} (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0. 138
- Fig. 3.46(A) The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of GO-CIHFO at any time, $Q_t (\text{mg.g}^{-1})$ versus time, $t (\text{min})$ including non-linear pseudo second order (PSO) (—) at three different concentrations (5 mg.L^{-1} , 10 mg.L^{-1} and 15 mg.L^{-1}) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0. 139
- Fig. 3.46 (B) The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of GO-CIHFO at any time, $Q_t(\text{mg. g}^{-1})$ versus time, $t (\text{min})$ including non-linear pseudo second order (PSO) (—) at three temperature (288K , 303 K and 313 K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L^{-1} (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0. 139
- Fig. 3.47(A) The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of $\beta\text{C-CIHFO}$ at any time, $Q_t(\text{mg. g}^{-1})$ versus time, $t (\text{min})$ including non-linear pseudo first order (PFO) (—) at three different concentrations (5 mg.L^{-1} , 10 mg.L^{-1} and 15 mg.L^{-1}) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0. 140
- Fig. 3.47(B) The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of $\beta\text{C-CIHFO}$ at any time, $Q_t (\text{mg.g}^{-1})$ versus time, $t (\text{min})$ including non-linear pseudo first order (PFO)(—) at three 140

- temperature (288K,303K and 313K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L⁻¹ (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0.
- Fig. 3.48 (A) The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of βC-CIHFO at any time, Q_t (mg. g⁻¹) versus time, t (min) including non-linear pseudo second order (PSO) (—) at three different concentrations (5 mg.L⁻¹, 10 mg.L⁻¹ and 15 mg.L⁻¹) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0. 141
- Fig. 3.48(B) The plots of fluoride adsorption capacity of βC-CIHFO at any time, Q_t (mg. g⁻¹) versus time, t (min) including non-linear pseudo second order (PSO) (—) at three temperature (288K,303K and 313K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L⁻¹ (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0. 141
- Fig. 3.49 (A)-(C) depicting mass transfer process for adsorbent CIHFO at three different temperature (293 K, 303 K and 313 K) with active fluoride concentration 10 mg.L⁻¹ (ionic strength = 1M) and at pH 7.0. 145
- Fig. 3.50 (A)-(C) depicting mass transfer process for adsorbent GO-CIHFO at three different temperature (288 K, 303 K and 313 K) with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L⁻¹ (ionic strength = 1M) and at pH 7.0. 146
- Fig. 3.51 (A)-(C) depicting mass transfer process for adsorbent βC-CIHFO at three different temperature (288 K, 303 K and 313 K) with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L⁻¹ (ionic strength = 1M) and at pH 7.0. 147
- Fig. 3.52 (A)-(C) represent intra particle diffusion model for fluoride adsorption reactions with CIHFO at different concentration of Fluoride (10 mg.L⁻¹, 15 mg.L⁻¹ and 20 mg.L⁻¹) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2). 149

Fig. 3.53	(A)-(C) Represent Intra-particle diffusion model for fluoride adsorption reactions of CIHFO at three temperature (293K, 303 K and 313 K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 10 mg.L ⁻¹ (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2).	150
Fig. 3.54	(A)-(C) Represent Intra-particle diffusion model for fluoride adsorption reactions of GO-CIHFO at three different concentrations (5 mg.L ⁻¹ , 10 mg.L ⁻¹ and 15 mg.L ⁻¹) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2).	151
Fig. 3.55	(A)-(C) Represent Intra-particle diffusion model for fluoride adsorption reactions of GO-CIHFO at three temperature (288K, 303K and 313K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L ⁻¹ (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2).	152
Fig. 3.56	(A)-(C) Represent Intra-particle diffusion model for fluoride adsorption reactions with βC-CIHFO at three different concentrations (5 mg.L ⁻¹ , 10 mg.L ⁻¹ and 15 mg.L ⁻¹) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2).	153
Fig. 3.57	(A)-(C) Represent Intra-particle diffusion model for fluoride adsorption reactions with βC-CIHFO at three temperature (288K, 303K and 313K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L ⁻¹ (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2).	154
Fig. 3.58	(A)-(C) depict Elovich model for fluoride adsorption reactions with CIHFO at different concentration of Fluoride (10 mg.L ⁻¹ , 15 mg.L ⁻¹ and 20 mg.L ⁻¹) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2).	156

Fig. 3.59	(A)-(C) depict Elovich model for fluoride adsorption reactions with CIHFO at three temperature (293K,303K and 313K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 10 mg.L ⁻¹ (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2).	157
Fig. 3.60	(A)-(C) depict Elovich model for fluoride adsorption reactions with GO-CIHFO at three different concentrations (5 mg.L ⁻¹ , 10 mg.L ⁻¹ and 15 mg.L ⁻¹) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2).	158
Fig. 3.61	(A)-(C) depict Elovich model for fluoride adsorption reactions with GO-CIHFO at three temperature (288K,303K and 313K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L ⁻¹ (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2).	159
Fig. 3.62	(A)-(C) depict Elovich model for fluoride adsorption reactions with βC-CIHFO at three different concentrations (5 mg.L ⁻¹ , 10 mg.L ⁻¹ and 15 mg.L ⁻¹) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2).	160
Fig. 3.63	(A)-(C) depict Elovich model for fluoride adsorption reactions with βC-CIHFO at three temperature (288K,303K and 313K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L ⁻¹ (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2).	161
Fig. 3.64	(A)-(C) depict Richenberg Model for fluoride adsorption reactions with CIHFO at three different concentrations (10 mg.L ⁻¹ , 15 mg.L ⁻¹ and 20 mg.L ⁻¹) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (±0.2)	163
Fig. 3.65	(A)-(C) depict Richenberg Model for fluoride adsorption reactions with CIHFO at three temperature (293K,303K and 313K) respectively with active fluoride	164

	concentration 10 mg.L^{-1} (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (± 0.2).	
Fig. 3.66	(A)-(C) depict Richenberg Model for fluoride adsorption reactions with GO-CIHFO at three different concentrations (5 mg.L^{-1} , 10 mg.L^{-1} and 15 mg.L^{-1}) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (± 0.2).	165
Fig. 3.67	(A)-(C) depict Richenberg Model for fluoride adsorption reactions with GO- CIHFO at three temperature (288K, 303K and 313K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L^{-1} (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (± 0.2).	166
Fig. 3.68	(A)-(C) depict Richenberg Model for fluoride adsorption reactions with $\beta\text{C-CIHFO}$ at three different concentrations (5 mg.L^{-1} , 10 mg.L^{-1} and 15 mg.L^{-1}) at temperature 303K (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (± 0.2).	167
Fig. 3.69	(A)-(C) depict Richenberg Model for fluoride adsorption reactions with $\beta\text{C-CIHFO}$ at three temperature (288K, 303K and 313K) respectively with active fluoride concentration 15 mg.L^{-1} (ionic strength = 1M) at pH 7.0 (± 0.2).	168
Fig. 3.70	Plots for nonlinear fitting of data with Langmuir and Freundlich models for adsorption of fluoride on adsorbent CIHFO at pH 7.0 with three different temperature 293K, 303K, and 313K respectively	172
Fig. 3.71	Plots for nonlinear fitting of data with Langmuir and Freundlich models for adsorption of fluoride on adsorbent GO-CIHFO at pH 7.0 with four different temperature 288K, 303K, 313K and 323K respectively.	174
Fig. 3.72	Plots for nonlinear fitting of data with Langmuir and Freundlich models for adsorption of fluoride on adsorbent $\beta\text{C-CIHFO}$ at pH 7.0 with four different temperature 288K, 303K, 313K and 323K respectively.	175

Fig. 3.73	Represent the plots of $\ln Q_e$ versus ϵ^2 of CIHFO for D-R Isotherm at temperature 293K, 303K and 313K with solution pH 7.0.	178
Fig. 3.74	Represent the plots of $\ln Q_e$ versus ϵ^2 of GO-CIHFO for D-R Isotherm at temperature 288K, 303K, 313K and 323K with solution pH 7.0.	179
Fig. 3.75	Represent the plots of $\ln Q_e$ versus ϵ^2 β C-CIHFO for D-R Isotherm at temperature 288K, 303K, 313K and 323K with solution pH 7.0.	180
Fig.3.76	Represent van't Hoff plot for the adsorption of fluoride with CIHFO, GO-CIHFO and β C- CIHFO with solution pH 7.0.	182-183
Fig. 3.77	Represent the plot of $\ln k_2$ versus $1/T$ (K) for the activation energy for CIHFO, GO-CIHFO and β C-CIHFO respectively.	186-187
Fig. 3.78	Effect of co-existing ions (sulphate ions) upon fluoride adsorption capacity of CIHFO.	188
Fig. 3.79	Effect of coexisting ions (phosphate and sulphate ions) upon fluoride adsorption capacity of β C-CIHFO.	189-190
Fig. 3.80	Desorption study of fluoride loaded adsorbent GO-CIHFO and β C-CIHFO at various pH values	191
Fig. 3.81	Regeneration outcome of proposed adsorbent GO-CIHFO and β C-CIHFO over five cycles.	193
Fig. 3.82	Represents molar concentration of NaOH on desorption of fluoride from CIHFO surface.	194
Scheme 3.1	Geographical position of Maximum fluoride contaminated Kashipur block of Raghunathpur Subdivision of Purulia District, West Bengal, India	196
Scheme 3.2	Graphical presentation of proposed reactions mechanism of fluoride adsorption process involved over CIHFO	198
Scheme 3.3	Graphical presentation of proposed reactions mechanism of fluoride adsorption process involved over GO-CIHFO	201

Scheme 3.4	Graphical presentation of proposed reactions mechanism of fluoride adsorption process involved over β C-CIHFO	202
Chapter 4		
Scheme 4.1	Schematic presentation of CIHFO packed Column Design for adsorption experimental set-up	210
Scheme 4.2	Schematic presentation of movement of primary adsorption zone during column operation and formation of Breakthrough curve during operation	213
Scheme 4.3	Schematic presentation of total adsorption capacity of the fixed bed column at breakthrough point and exhaustion point.	214
Fig. 4.1	Effect of bed height variation on breakthrough curve for fluoride adsorption by CIHFO at pH 7.0.	221
Fig. 4.2.	Graphical presentation of effect of flow-rate on breakthrough curve for Fluoride adsorption by CIHFO at pH 7.0 (± 0.2).	223
Fig. 4.3	Graphical presentation effect of initial concentration variation on breakthrough curve for Fluoride adsorption by CIHFO at pH 7.0 (± 0.2)	224
Fig. 4.4	Linear Thomas model fitting of breakthrough data obtained varying fixed bed-height, flow rate and initial fluoride concentration for evaluation of fluoride removal efficacy of CIHFO packed fixed-bed column operation in lab-bench scale from the spiked ground water.	226-227
Fig. 4.5	The plot of Bed Height Service Time (BDST) from the data obtained by CIHFO packed fixed-bed columns operations for treatment of fluoride enriched groundwater.	230
Fig. 4.6	Neural Network architecture of fluoride adsorption by CIHFO	233
Fig. 4.7	Effect of various experimental (input) parameters on adsorption efficiency	233
Fig. 4.8	Plot of actual response versus predicted response for CIHFO packed fixed bed column operation	238

Fig. 4.9	Plots showing the combined effect of initial fluoride concentration and flow rate on the breakthrough time for CIHFO packed fixed bed column operation (Bed Height 10 cm)	243
Fig. 4.10	Plots showing the combined effect of initial fluoride concentration and Bed height variation on the breakthrough time for CIHFO packed fixed bed column operation (Flow rate 1.0 mL.min ⁻¹)	244
Fig. 4.11	Plots showing the combined effect of Flow rate and Bed height variation on the breakthrough time for CIHFO packed fixed bed column operation (initial fluoride concentration 5.13 mg.L ⁻¹)	245
Fig. 4.12	Perturbation plot showing the effect of the tested variables on breakthrough time	246
Fig. 4.13	Bar plot for optimization procedure	246
Scheme. 4.4	Schematic diagram of proposed prototype filter unit	249