

Acknowledgements

Completion of this doctoral dissertation was only possible with the support of several people, whom I would like to acknowledge here and express my sincere gratitude to all of them. I would like to express my sincere thanks to all research funding agency BRNS-DAE, UGC and DST INDO-BRAZIL collaborative project.

Firstly, I am extremely grateful to my research guide. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my enthusiastic supervisor Prof. Joydip Mukhopadhyay for his continuous support during my Ph.D. study and related research. I must appreciate his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. His guidance has immensely helped me in throughout the course of my research and writing of this thesis. Without his constant support and utmost cooperation it wouldn't be possible to finish my research work. I haven't ever imagined of getting an opportunity to work under such a prodigious guide for my research work.

Besides my supervisor, I would like to thank all faculty members of the Federal University of Minas Gerais who provided me an opportunity to access their lab and research facilities. It would not be possible to finish my research work without their utmost support and cooperation. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Tiago Novo, Federal University of Minas Gerais for giving me his precious time to interpret my research data.

Beside that I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof Cristiano Lana, Federal University of Ouro Preto who provided me an opportunity to join their research team by providing me the access to his laboratory and advance research facilities. Without their utmost support it wouldn't be possible to conduct this research on global aspect. I would like to express my heartiest thanks to my friends Ms Lucilia Aparecida Ramos De Oliveira, Livia Teixeira and Ana Ramalho for their constant support and cooperation during my prolonged stay in Brazil.

Apart from the above mentioned dignitaries in the field of geology whose immense support has helped in proceeding of my research work, a few other notable ones whose support, cooperation and guidance has added value to my research work. My sincere gratitude to Prof. N.V. Krishnachaitanya Yerroju, Department of Statistics, Presidency University, for introducing me to the different statistical analysis methods and sharing

his knowledge ,Mr Sujit Kumar Bhaduri, for introducing me with new lab technique and share his knowledge and expertise in the associated domain.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Gautam Ghosh, Head of the Department and all other faculty member of Department of Geology, Presidency University.

My sincere gratitude to Dr. Kalyan Chakraborty, Scientist H, AMD ,Mr.Ashim Jana, Scientific officer D, AMD, Jamshedpur and Dr. Sampa Ghosh, DST-WOS-A, Presidency University for their help and knowledge sharing during the course of my field work at Keonjhar.

My sincere gratitude to my best friend Ms Aditi Acharyya for her constant support and encouraging me in every aspect of my life.

I also want to acknowledge Ms Aditi Chatterjee, Ms Shrima Banerjee, Mr. Brundaban Mishra, Mrs Nandini Mukherjee, Mrs Conceição Rosiere, Dr. Arnab Sain, and Mrs Pampa Bhattacharyya for their constant direct or indirect support and encouragement during my thesis completion.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family: my father Mr Deb Kumar De, my mother Mrs Amita De, my elder sister Mrs Nabanita Das and my brother in law Mr. Pallab Das for constantly supporting me spiritually throughout the writing of this thesis and in my life in general.

I am personally indebted and grateful to all of them who directly or indirectly contributed to in the development of this research work.

This thesis is dedicated to honour my beloved parents.

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>i-ii</i>
<i>Contents</i>	<i>iii-viii</i>
<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>ix-xviii</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xix-xx</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>1</i>
Chapter 1	
Introduction	<i>2-15</i>
1.1. <i>Introduction</i>	<i>2-4</i>
1.2. <i>Geological setting of the Keonjhar siliciclastics</i>	<i>5-7</i>
1.3. <i>Scope of the work</i>	<i>7-8</i>
1.4. <i>Objectives</i>	<i>8</i>
1.5. <i>Methodology</i>	<i>9-10</i>
1.6. <i>Area of investigation</i>	<i>10</i>
1.7. <i>Instruments used for present studies</i>	<i>10-13</i>
1.8. <i>Organization of the thesis</i>	<i>13-15</i>
Chapter 2	
An Overview of the Precambrian Stratigraphy of the Singhbhum Craton	<i>16-27</i>
2.1. <i>Introduction</i>	<i>16-17</i>
2.2. <i>Precambrian stratigraphy of the Singhbhum craton</i>	<i>17-18</i>
2.2.1. <i>Older Metamorphic Group (OMG)</i>	<i>19</i>
2.2.2. <i>Older Metamorphic Tonalite-Gneiss (OMTG)</i>	<i>20</i>
2.2.3. <i>Singhbhum Granite (phases I, II and III), Bonai Granite, Kaptipada Granite, Mayurbhanj Granite and Pallahara Gneiss</i>	<i>21-24</i>
2.2.3.1. <i>Singhbhum Granite</i>	<i>21-22</i>
2.2.3.2. <i>Bonai Granite</i>	<i>22</i>
2.2.3.3. <i>Kaptipada Granite</i>	<i>22-23</i>
2.2.3.4. <i>Mayurbhanj Granite</i>	<i>24</i>
2.2.3.5. <i>Pallahara Gneiss</i>	<i>24</i>
2.2.4. <i>Iron Ore Group (IOG)</i>	<i>24-25</i>

2.2.5.	<i>Neoarchean-Paleoproterozoic supracrustals</i>	25-26
2.2.5.1.	<i>Dhanjori Formation</i>	25
2.2.5.2.	<i>Dalma volcanics and Simlipal volcanics</i>	25
2.2.5.3.	<i>Jagannathpur Lava</i>	26
2.2.6.	<i>Kolhan Group</i>	26-27
2.2.7.	<i>Newer Dolerite Dyke swarm</i>	27
Chapter 3		
Internal Stratigraphy of the Keonjhar Siliciclastics		28-37
3.1.	<i>Introduction</i>	28-29
3.2.	<i>Internal stratigraphy of the Keonjhar siliciclastic</i>	29-37
Chapter 4		
Facies analysis of Keonjhar Quartzite		38-66
4.1.	<i>Introduction</i>	38
4.2.	<i>Facies description</i>	38-52
4.2.1	<i>Matrix-supported cobble-pebble conglomerate facies (F1)</i>	39-40
4.2.2	<i>Clast-supported cobble-pebble conglomerate facies (F2)</i>	40-41
4.2.3	<i>Wavy bedded pebbly sandstone facies (F3)</i>	42-43
4.2.4	<i>Fine chip pebble conglomerate facies (F4)</i>	43-44
4.2.5	<i>Coarse massive sandstone with pebble float facies (F5)</i>	44-45
4.2.6	<i>Pebble lag facies (F6)</i>	45-47
4.2.7	<i>Trough cross stratified medium grained facies (F7)</i>	47-48
4.2.8	<i>Plane laminated medium grain mature sandstone facies (F8)</i>	48-49
4.2.9	<i>Plane-to-wavy bedded cross-stratified medium-to-fine-grained sandstone facies (F9)</i>	49-50
4.3.	<i>Facies associations</i>	53-54
4.3.1.	<i>Matrix-supported conglomerate dominated lithofacies association (FA1)</i>	53
4.3.2.	<i>Clast-supported conglomerate dominated lithofacies association (FA2)</i>	53
4.3.3.	<i>Coarse-to-medium-grained pebbly sandstone dominated lithofacies association (FA3)</i>	54

4.3.4. <i>Thick-bedded trough to planar cross-stratified mature sandstone dominated lithofacies association (FA4)</i>	54
4.4. <i>Facies sequence and depositional environment</i>	58-63
4.5. <i>Facies sequence development</i>	63-65
4.6. <i>Paleocurrent analysis</i>	65-66
Chapter 5	
<i>Petrographic and SEM-CL Studies of the Keonjhar Quartzite: Implications for Provenance of Sediments</i>	67-91
5.1. <i>Introduction</i>	67-68
5.2. <i>Sampling and analytical methods</i>	68-69
5.3. <i>Results</i>	70-79
5.3.1. <i>Petrographic analysis</i>	70-74
5.3.1.1. <i>Framework</i>	71-72
5.3.1.2. <i>Matrix</i>	72-73
5.3.1.3. <i>Cement</i>	73-74
5.3.2. <i>Modal petrographic composition of the sandstones (combined Gazzi-Dickinson and Cox and Lowe methods, 1996)</i>	74-76
5.3.2.1. <i>Q_r-F-L tectonic discrimination diagram</i>	75
5.3.2.2. <i>Q_m-F-L_t tectonic discrimination diagram</i>	75-76
5.3.2.3. <i>Q_m-P-K tectonic discrimination diagram</i>	76
5.3.3. <i>Scanning electron microscope cathodoluminescence (SEM-CL) analysis</i>	77-79
5.3.3.1. <i>Type A</i>	77
5.3.3.2. <i>Type B</i>	78
5.3.3.3. <i>Type C</i>	78
5.3.3.4. <i>Type D</i>	78
5.3.3.5. <i>Type E</i>	78-79
5.4. <i>Discussion</i>	79-85
5.4.1. <i>Quartz genetic types</i>	79-80
5.4.2. <i>Source and tectonic distribution</i>	84
5.4.3. <i>SEM-CL study of quartz framework and implications for the Mesoproterozoic upper crust</i>	84-85

Chapter 6	
Detrital Zircon U-Pb- Lu-Hf Composition: Implications for Upper Crustal Growth	92-106
6.1. Introduction	92-94
6.2. Analytical method	94-95
6.2.1. Sample preparation	94
6.2.2. Laser ablation MC-ICP-MS Hf analyses (UFOP)	94-95
6.3. Results	96-98
6.3.1. Zircon mineralogy	96-97
6.3.1.1. Magmatic zircon	96
6.3.1.2. Metamorphic zircon	96-97
6.3.2. Lu-Hf data	97-98
6.3.2.1. $\epsilon_{Hf(t)}$ versus $^{207}Pb/^{206}Pb$ age(Ma) diagram	97-98
6.4. Geodynamic implications	98-101
Chapter 7	
Paleoplacer Uranium Mineralization Potential in Keonjhar QPCs: Mineralogy, Source Terrain and Geochemical Proxies	107-156
7.1. Introduction	107-109
7.2. Sampling and analytical methods	110-111
7.3. Results	112-142
7.3.1. Petrographic and mineralogical compositions of the QPCs	112-118
7.3.1.1. Rutile	112
7.3.1.2. Brannerite	113
7.3.1.3. Uraniferous leucoxene	113
7.3.1.4. Coffinite	114
7.3.1.5. Thorite and Uranothorite	114
7.3.1.6. Pyrite	114
7.3.1.7. Monazite	118
7.3.1.8. Zircon	118
7.3.2. Provenance and tectonic setting of the source terrain from geochemical studies	118-133
7.3.2.1. Spider plots	124
7.3.2.1.1. Incompatible elements	124
7.3.2.1.2. Compatible elements	124

7.3.2.2.	<i>Th/Sc and Zr/Sc bivariate diagram</i>	126-127
7.3.2.3.	<i>Cr/Th versus. Sc/Th</i>	128
7.3.2.4.	<i>Cr/V versus. Y/Ni</i>	128
7.3.2.5.	<i>Th/U-Th</i>	129-130
7.3.2.6.	<i>La-Th-Sc and Th-Sc-Zr/10</i>	130
7.3.2.7.	<i>REE distribution patterns</i>	131-133
7.3.3.	<i>Comparative account of chemical compositions of the QPCs, sandstone (quartzite)</i>	134-142
7.3.3.1.	<i>Keonjhar sandstone normalized diagram</i>	134-136
7.3.3.2.	<i>Chondrite normalized REE plot</i>	137
7.3.3.3.	<i>QPC composition normalized to Singhbhum Granite</i>	137-141
7.3.4.	<i>Correlation of U-Th with respect to other elements</i>	142
7.4.	<i>Discussion</i>	142-147
7.4.1.	<i>Uranium mineralogy</i>	142-143
7.4.2.	<i>Nature of the upper crust as a potential source of U-Th paleoplacers</i>	144
7.4.3.	<i>Granitic basement to siliciclastic depositional sink</i>	145
7.4.4.	<i>Difference between QPCs and quartzite of Keonjhar region</i>	145-146
7.4.5.	<i>Implications for QPC-hosted Uranium mineralization</i>	146-147

Chapter 8

U-Pb Detrital Zircon Geochronology of Iron Ore Conglomerates of the Chamakpur Member: Implications for the Origin of High-grade Iron Ore

8.1.	<i>Introduction</i>	157-160
8.2.	<i>Methodology</i>	160-162
8.2.1.	<i>Petrographic analysis</i>	160-161
8.2.2.	<i>Laser ablation SF-ICP-MS U-Pb dating (Federal University of Ouro Preto, UFOP)</i>	161-162
8.3.	<i>Description</i>	162-165
8.3.1.	<i>Mode of occurrence of the iron ore conglomerates</i>	162-164
8.3.2.	<i>Iron ore petrography</i>	164-165
8.4.	<i>Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology</i>	165-167
8.5.	<i>Discussion</i>	167-168
8.5.1.	<i>Controls on iron ore conglomerate deposition</i>	167

8.5.2. <i>Implication for the age of primary ore</i>	167-168
Chapter9	
Discussion and Conclusion	170-174
9.1. <i>Development of Keonjhar stratigraphy</i>	170
9.2. <i>Depositional environment</i>	171
9.3. <i>Nature of source terrain and the Mesoproterozoic upper continental crust</i>	172-173
9.4. <i>QPC-hosted uranium paleoplacers</i>	173-174
9.5. <i>Detrital iron ore deposit and implications on age of iron ore formation</i>	174
<i>Appendices</i>	175-179
<i>References</i>	180-218

List of Figures

Chapter 1 Introduction

Fig. 1.1. Generalized world distribution map of the Archean basins.

Fig.1.2. Google map of distribution of Archean cratons of India.

Chapter: 2 An overview of the Precambrian Stratigraphy of Singhbhum Craton

Fig. 2.1. Distribution of Archean cratons in India (modified after Naqvi 2005)

Fig. 2.2. Generalized geological map of the Singhbhum craton (modified after Saha 1994). Inset with distribution of Archean cratons of the peninsular India.

Chapter: 3 Internal Stratigraphy of the Keonjhar Siliciclastics

Fig. 3.1. Outline map of distribution of Mesoarchean siliciclastics around Keonjhar-Daitari- Tamka-Pallahara areas (after Mukhopadhyay et al. 2014).

Fig. 3.2. Field photograph of Paleosol (*Keonjhar Paleosol*) along the unconformity.

Fig. 3.3. Field photograph of A. Conglomerates and B. Pebbly sandstone that characterize the Asurkhol Member from the lower part of the Keonjhar Quartzite.

Fig. 3.4. Geological map of the Keonjhar Quartzite, north of the Keonjhar Town.

Fig. 3.5. A. Field photograph of lensoid iron ore conglomerate bodies mined out from Chamakpur Member. B. Field photograph of iron ore conglomerate with clasts of hard iron ore (dark steel gray) and BIF (reddish) in Chamakpur Member. Note well rounded hard iron ore clast (near the left upper corner coin scale).

Fig. 3.6. Field photographs of megascopic deformation structures close to major faults in Keonjhar Quartzite: A. Locally developed asymmetric fold and B. Strong fracture cleavage.

Fig. 3.7. Lithologs of the three major slices in east-west down dip direction: A. Asurkhol

slice B. Hatidari slice C. Kankana slice.

Fig. 3.8. Profile sections along true dip direction.

Fig. 3.9. Composite stratigraphic succession of the Keonjhar Quartzite. Note: two members classified within this formation: Asurkhol Member and Chamakpur Member.

Chapter: 4

Facies analysis of Keonjhar Quartzite

Fig. 4.1. Field photograph of Matrix-supported cobble-to-pebble conglomerate facies (F1) from Keonjhar Quartzite. Note clasts are completely supported in a fine-grained matrix.

Fig. 4.2. Field photograph of Clast-supported cobble-to-pebble conglomerate facies (F2) from Keonjhar Quartzite.

Fig. 4.3. Field photographs of Wavy bedded to massive pebbly sandstone facies (F3) from Keonjhar Quartzite A. Pebbly sandstone with dispersed pebble; B. Massive pebbly sandstone beds showing wavy geometry.

Fig. 4.4. Field photograph of Fine-chip pebble conglomerate (F4) from Keonjhar Quartzite.

Fig. 4.5. Field photographs of Coarse massive sandstone with pebble float facies (F5) from Keonjhar Quartzite A. Coarse massive pebble float sandstone with wavy top facies (F5); B. Coarse massive sandstone with dispersed pebble floats.

Fig. 4.6. Field photograph of Pebble lag facies (F6) from Keonjhar Quartzite.

Fig. 4.7. Field photograph of Trough cross-stratified medium-grained sandstone facies (F7) from Keonjhar Quartzite.

Fig. 4.8. Field photograph of Plane-laminated medium-grained mature sandstone facies (F8) from Keonjhar Quartzite.

Fig. 4.9. Field photographs of mega-rippled sandstones in the Keonjhar Quartzite: A. Wavy bedded medium-grained sandstone facies (F9); Wavy bedded thick cosets form the flank of tidal bar compound strata. B. Internal bedsets of cross-strata in wavy bedded

structure of medium-grained sandstone facies (F9).

Fig. 4.10. Lithofacies associations from proximal to distal direction in Keonjhar Quartzite. A. Proximal alluvial fan association in Asurkhol section; B. Proximal alluvial fan association in Kankana section; C. Midfan braid plain to fan-delta association south west part of Kankana section; D. Subaqueous parts of a fan-delta\braid-delta Hatidari section. E. Subaqueous parts of a fan-delta\braid delta west of Kamalpur section; F. Subaqueous parts of a fan-delta\braid delta Belpahar section; G. Wave-\tide-dominated shelf Jaipur section; H. Wave-\tide-dominated shelf Paranapani section.

Fig. 4.11. Schematic depositional model for the Keonjhar Quartzite. Subaerial fan to fan-delta and open shelf depositional setting. FA 1: Proximal alluvial fan association, FA 2: Midfan braid plain to fan-delta association, FA 3: Subaqueous parts of a fan-delta\braid delta association, FA 4: Wave-\tide-dominated shelf association. The vertico-lateral facies transition suggests retrogradational stacking pattern and coastal onlap for the shelf association.

Fig. 4.12. Spatial distribution of facies sequence in Keonjhar Quartzite. Facies sequences from east to west in down dip section represents facies associations from proximal to distal parts of the depositional basin. A-Asurkhol, K- Kankana, H-Hathidari, J-Jaipur, N-Nayagarh M- Manharposi, P-Parnapani, U-Unconformity, T-Thrust. Inset outline map for locations.

Fig. 4.13. Schematic presentation of facies sequence in spatial distribution from east to west downdip direction. Note the facies associations of proximal to distal depositional environments in facies sequences across strike from east to west.

Fig. 4.14. Grain-size distribution histogram pattern from conglomerate beds (ten largest clasts measured from each bed). Note enrichment of smaller fraction towards west.

Fig. 4.15. Depositional sequence and systems tracts from Keonjhar Quartzite.

Fig. 4.16. Paleocurrent distribution pattern from trough cross-stratified sandstones.

Chapter: 5
Petrography and SEM CL Analyses of the Keonjhar Quartzite: Implications for Provenance of Sediments

Fig. 5.1. Transmitted light micrographs of sandstones from Keonjhar Quartzite: A. Monocrystalline quartz grain with high undulosity B. Well rounded quartz grain (centre).

Fig. 5.2. Photomicrograph of arenites from Keonjhar Quartzite showing chert fragment in the framework.

Fig. 5.3. Photomicrograph detrital pyrite and zircon (elongate, RL image) in the framework.

Fig. 5.4. Transmitted light crossed polars photomicrograph of arenites from Keonjhar Quartzite showing recrystallized sericitic orthomatrix in the intergranular space.

Fig. 5.5. Transmitted plane-polarized light micrograph of arenite showing quartz overgrowth (centre).

Fig. 5.6. A. Qt-F-L compositional plots (after Dickinson and Suczek 1979) of Keonjhar quartzarenite. Note all the Keonjhar samples are clustered within cratonic interior to recycled orogen region. B. Qm-F-Lt compositional plots (after Dickinson 1985). Note all the Keonjhar samples are clustered within the quartzose recycled to cratonic interior origin. C. Qm-P-K ternary discrimination plots (after Dickinson and Suczek 1979). Note the entire Keonjhar samples are clustered in the stable continental block provenance.

Fig. 5.7. SEM CL images of Type A (plutonic) quartz from the Keonjhar Quartzite. A Type A quartz with low luminescent and thin hairline healed fracture. (Note healed fracture). B. Fractures are sparsely distributed and widely spaced as more than one set of lines. Note the fractures intersect at constant angles. C. Dark streak and patches in Type A quartz grain. D. Type A quartz where healed fracture filled by secondary fluid inclusion (foreign material or trace element like Li, Al, Ti) that produce dark streak and patches. (Note healed fractures are filled by foreign material and produce dark patches).

Fig. 5.8. A. SEM CL images of Type B quartz from the Keonjhar Quartzite. Note. inhomogeneous and patchy mottled luminosity in Type B (medium grade metamorphic) quartz grain. B. Dark non-differentiated CL character of low-grade metamorphic quartz

Type C (central dark grain). C. CL patterns of image of Type C (low grade metamorphic dominated detrital quartz population). D. Low to medium CL character of Type B and Type C low to medium grade metamorphic quartz grain.

Fig. 5.9. SEM CL images of Type D (fractured) and Type E quartz from the Keonjhar Quartzite. A. Medium-to-high grade deformed quartz grain (moderate to high luminosity) produce Category II (Table 5.6 for description) micro fractures that have curved to straight traces arranged in simple to complex intersecting patterns. B. Grain (centre) with Category II micro fractures giving rise to polycrystallinity of the quartz grain. C. Grains of Category III microfractures are inherited in nature, those fractures are secondarily filled up. D. SEM CL images of Type E high-grade (bright luminosity) deformed quartz grain.

Chapter 6

Detrital Zircon U-Pb- Lu-Hf Composition: Implications for Upper Crustal Growth

Fig. 6.1. SEM-CL images of detrital zircons from Keonjhar Quartzite. A. Detrital zircons of magmatic origin showing oscillatory zones with variation in band thickness due to the process of magmatic differentiation. B. Detrital zircons of magmatic origin from Keonjhar Quartzite. Note magmatic oscillatory zoning and broken edges due to sedimentary transportation. C. Detrital zircons with metamorphic growth from Keonjhar Quartzite. Note prismatic zircon contains irregular zoning and patchy distribution. D. Metamorphic zircon grain consists of low U central part with general fracturing and surrounded by high U outer layer devoid of fracturing.

Fig. 6.2. A. $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}(t)}$ versus $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ Age (Ma) diagram showing results for detrital zircon from the Mesoarchean Keonjhar Quartzite (<3 Ga depositional age). Most of the values are in the superchondritic field (fields after Griffin et al. 2002). B. Schematic diagram of accretionary orogen and juvenile crust emplacement as a mechanism for the growth of early crust.

Chapter 7

Paleoplacer Uranium Mineralization Potential in Keonjhar QPCs: Mineralogy, Source Terrain and Geochemical Proxies

Fig. 7.1. Distribution of QPC (Quartz Pebble Conglomerate) bearing siliciclastic succession (Pallahara-Mankaharchua-Mahagiri-Keonjhar) of Singhbhum craton.

Fig. 7.2. Geological map of the Keonjhar Quartzite, north of Keonjhar with sample locations (dark filled circles) that are used for petrography and geochemistry.

Fig. 7.3. SEM-EDS analysis from conglomerate (QPC) samples of Keonjhar Quartzite. A. SEM- BSE image of rutile grain. B. SEM-EDS analysis rutile grain. C. Semiquantitative analysis and compositional variation in rutile grain.

Fig. 7.4. SEM-EDS analysis from conglomerate (QPC) samples of Keonjhar Quartzite. A. SEM- BSE image of brannerite grain. B. SEM-EDS analysis brannerite grain. C. Semiquantitative analysis and compositional variation in brannerite grain.

Fig. 7.5. SEM-EDS analysis from conglomerate (QPC) samples of Keonjhar Quartzite. A. SEM- BSE image of uraniferous leucoxene grain. B. SEM-EDS analysis uraniferous leucoxene grain. C. Semiquantitative analysis and compositional variation in uraniferous leucoxene grain.

Fig. 7.6. SEM- EDS images and analyses of corona texture A. SEM image showing corona texture (rutile in the core and brannerite in the rim) from Pronto Reaction. B. SEM-EDS image of elemental map. C. Line scans map and semiquantitative analysis of the texture.

Fig. 7.7. SEM-EDS analysis from conglomerate (QPC) samples of Keonjhar Quartzite. A. SEM- BSE image of coffinite grain. B. SEM-EDS analysis coffinite grain. C. Semiquantitative analysis and compositional variation in coffinite grain.

Fig. 7.8. SEM-EDS analysis from conglomerate (QPC) samples of Keonjhar Quartzite. A. SEM-BSE image of thorite and uranothorite grain. B. SEM-EDS analysis thorite grain. C. Semiquantitative analysis and compositional variation in thorite grain and D. Uranothorite composition.

Fig. 7.9. Petrographic and SEM-EDS analysis from conglomerate (QPC) samples of Keonjhar Quartzite. A. RL image of the pyrite grain. B. SEM- BSE image of pyrite grain. C SEM-EDS analysis of pyrite grain. D. Semiquantitative analysis and compositional variation in pyrite grain.

Fig. 7.10. SEM-EDS analysis from conglomerate (QPC) samples of Keonjhar Quartzite. A. SEM- BSE image of monazite grain. B. SEM-EDS analysis monazite grain. C. Semiquantitative analysis and compositional variation in monazite grain.

Fig. 7.11. SEM-EDS analysis from conglomerate (QPC) samples of Keonjhar Quartzite. A. SEM- BSE image of zircon grain. B. SEM-EDS analysis zircon grain. C. Semiquantitative analysis and compositional variation in zircon grain.

Fig. 7.12. Plots of trace elements data normalized with Archean upper crust (AUC) from the Keonjhar Quartzite. A. Trace element composition of arenites normalized to Archean upper crust (AUC). B. Trace element composition of mudstones normalized to Archean upper crust (AUC).

Fig.7.13. Plots of trace elements data normalized with Archean upper crust (AUC) from the Keonjhar Quartzite. A. Trace element composition of shale normalized to Archean upper crust (AUC) B. Trace element composition of QPCs normalized to Archean upper crust (AUC).

Fig.7.14. Plot of Th/Sc versus Zr/Sc for the Mesoarchean Keonjhar Quartzite samples. Note wide range in Zr/Sc value for both QPCs and sandstones. A relatively positive correlation with Th/Sc values with all the samples.

Fig.7.15. Plot of Cr/Th versus Sc/Th for the Mesoarchean siliciclastics samples. Note. Cr/Th does not show strong positive correlation trend with Sc/Th ratio.

Fig.7.16. A. Plot of Cr/V versus Y/Ni for the Keonjhar Quartzite samples. Note. Cr/V and Y/Ni ratio are showing a wide variation trend. B. Plot of Th/U versus Th for the Mesoarchean siliciclastic samples from the Keonjhar area. Note. Th/U and U proportion show wide range of variation.

Fig. 7.17. A. La–Th–Sc discriminatory plot (after Bhatia and Crook 1986). Note samples fall mainly in passive and active continental margin settings and a few in the oceanic

island arc setting. B. Th–Sc–Zr/10 discriminatory plot (after Bhatia and Crook 1986). Note that most of the samples plot within and close to the fields of both active and passive continental margins and three samples of this formation plot in the field of oceanic island arc.

Fig. 7.18. Plots of Rare Earth Element (REE) composition normalized to Archean upper crust (AUC): A. sandstone, note positive LREE and flat HREE distribution pattern and negative Eu anomaly, B. mudstone, note enrichment of HREE and flat LREE distribution pattern and positive Eu anomaly.

Fig.7.19. Plots of Rare Earth Element (REE) composition normalized to Archean upper crust (AUC): A. shale, note flat LREE and HREE distribution pattern, positive Sm and negative Eu anomaly and slightly depleted Ce anomaly, B. QPC. Note. Positive LREE and flat HREE distribution pattern, negative Eu anomaly.

Fig. 7.20. Compositional plots of Keonjhar QPC normalized to host sandstones A. Major oxide variations normalized with respect to sandstones. Note. variable enrichment in Fe₂O₃, Na₂O, TiO₂ and MnO and depletion in MgO, K₂O and P₂O₅. B. Trace element compositions normalized with respect to sandstone. Note enrichment in Cr, Ni, Th, U, Sr and Pb in QPC and depletion in Co, Rb, Cs and Ba. C. Rare Earth Element plot normalized with respect to sandstone. Note enrichment in LREE in QPC and retention of negative Eu-anomaly.

Fig. 7.21. Keonjhar QPC Rare Earth Element (REE) normalized diagram with respect to chondrite. Note considerable enrichment in the LREE and a consistent negative Eu-anomaly.

Fig.7.22. Compositional plots of Keonjhar QPC normalized to Singhbhum Granite Phase I A. Major oxide variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase I. Note enrichment of SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and MnO. B. Trace element variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase I. Note enrichment of Cu, Ni, Rb, V and Ta. C. Rare Earth Element variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase I. Note. similar trend for LREE and HREE.

Fig.7.23. Compositional plots of Keonjhar QPC normalized to Singhbhum Granite Phase II A. Major oxide variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase II.

Note enrichment of SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and MnO. B. Trace element variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase II. Note enrichment of Cu, Ni, V and Ta. C. Rare Earth Element variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase II. Note negative Eu- anomaly and enrichment of HREE.

Fig.7.24. Compositional plots of Keonjhar QPC normalized to Singhbhum Granite Phase III A. Major oxide variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase III. Note enrichment of SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and MnO. B. Trace element variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase III. Note enrichment of Cu, Ni and V. C. Rare Earth Element variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase III. Note flat trend for LREEs and HREEs.

Fig.7.25. Compositional plots of Keonjhar QPC normalized to Singhbhum Granite all Phase (mean value) A. Major oxide variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase mean. Note enrichment of SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and MnO. B. Trace element variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase mean. Note enrichment of Cu, Ni and V. C. Rare Earth Element variations normalized with respect to Singhbhum Granite Phase mean. Note flat trend for LREEs and HREEs.

Fig. 7.26. Histogram showing the correlation coefficient from the correlation matrix for compositions of U, Th with respect to A. Major oxides B. Trace elements. C. LREE D. HREE.

Chapter 8

U-Pb Detrital Zircon Geochronology of Iron Ore Conglomerates of the Chamakpur Member: Implications for the Origin of High-grade Iron Ore

Fig. 8.1. Major Iron Ore Deposits of the world showing age of the host BIF succession (marked in blue ink within bracket) and available age data of ore formation (marked in green ink) from some of the deposits. Labrador Trough (Conliffe 2015)¹; Animikie group (Goodwin 1996, p. 140)²; Carajás Formation (Figueiredo e Silva et al. 2011)³; Cauê Formation, Itabira Group Quadrilátero Ferrífero (Cabral et al. 2012)⁴; Urucum (Beukes et al. 2003)⁵; Sishen-Beeshoek (Smith and Beukes 2016)⁶; Thabazimbi deposits (Smith and Beukes 2016)⁷; Mt. Nimba (Force 1983)⁸; Krivoy Rog (Sośnicka et al. 2015)⁹; Bailadila (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2008)¹⁰; Goa (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2008a)¹¹ ;

Donimalai (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2008a)¹²; Noamundi (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2008a)¹³; Hamersley Group, Western Australia (Morris 1985;Harmsworth et al. 1990)¹⁴.

Fig. 8.2. Google map for location of the Chamakpur iron ore deposit.

Fig. 8.3. A. Composite stratigraphic succession of the Keonjhar Quartzite. Note: two members classified within this formation: Asurkhol Member and Chamakpur Member. The Chamakpur Member occurs as lentil and is entirely represented by iron ore clast-bearing conglomerates. B. Field photograph of lensoid iron ore conglomerate bodies mined out from Chamakpur Member. C. Field photograph of iron ore conglomerate with clasts of hard iron ore (dark steel gray) and BIF (reddish) in Chamakpur Member.

Fig. 8.4. Reflected light photomicrograph of A. Specularite blades associated with anhedral and microplaty hematite. B. Quartz grain (dark gray) surrounded by anhedral and microplaty hematite.

Fig. 8.5. BSE-SEM images of A. Idiomatic hematite (martite) surrounded by microplaty hematite. B. Specularite blades (arrow) in network of microplaty hematite. C. Anhedral and microplaty hematite forming mosaic texture for hard ores in ore pebble. D. Anhedral and microplaty hematite network revealing porosity distribution in hard ores from iron ore pebble in conglomerate.

Fig. 8.6. Detrital zircons LA-ICPMS U-Pb age ranging from 3000–3256 Ma (considered those zircons with <5% discordance limit) from sandstone clasts in iron ore conglomerate and overlying sandstone beds of Keonjhar Quartzite.

List of Tables

Chapter 2

An Overview of the Precambrian Stratigraphy of the Singhbhum Craton

Table 2.1. Generalized stratigraphic succession of the Precambrian of the Singhbhum craton (modified after Saha 1994 incorporating radiometric dates from subsequent workers Mazumdar 1996; Mishra et al. 1999, 2000; Misra 2006; Mukhopadhyay et al. 2006, 2008a; 2014; Tait et al. 2011; Sarkar and Gupta 2012; Upadhyay et al. 2014; Hofmann and Mazumdar 2015; Ghosh et al. 2016; Dey et al. 2017; Chaudhuri et al. 2018).

Chapter 3

Internal Stratigraphy of the Keonjhar Siliciclastics

Table 3.1. The proposed lithostratigraphic classification of Keonjhar Quartzite, Singhbhum craton, Odisha.

Chapter 4

Facies analysis of the Keonjhar Quartzite

Table 4.1. Summary of characteristics of the major lithofacies of the Keonjhar Quartzite.

Table 4.2. Characteristics and interpretation of major lithofacies associations.

Chapter 5

Petrographic and SEM-CL Studies of the Keonjhar Quartzite: Implications for Provenance of Sediments

Table 5.1. Petrographic analysis of the sandstone (with >15% of matrix) from Keonjhar Quartzite, Singhbhum craton, eastern India.

Table 5.2. Petrographic analysis of the sandstone (with <15% of matrix) from Keonjhar Quartzite, Singhbhum craton, eastern India.

Table 5.3. Petrographic analysis of the sandstone (with <10% of matrix) from Keonjhar Quartzite, Singhbhum craton, eastern India.

Table 5.4. SEM-CL fabric analysis of the quartz framework from Keonjhar Quartzite, Singhbhum craton, eastern India.

Table 5.5. Distinctive features of quartz grain revealed by petrographic analysis of Keonjhar Quartzite, Singhbhum craton, eastern India. (criteria after Basu et al. 1975).

Table 5.6. Characteristic features of quartz grains from different source rocks revealed by SEM-CL fabric analysis (compiled after Seyedolali et al. 1997; Laubach 1997; De et al. 2016).

Chapter 6

Detrital Zircon U-Pb- Lu-Hf Composition: Implications for Upper Crustal Growth

Table 6.1. U-Pb isotopic compositions for detrital zircons in conglomerate obtained by LA-ICPMS.

Table 6.2. Lu-Hf isotopic compositions for detrital zircons in conglomerate obtained by MC-ICP-MS.

Chapter 7

Paleoplacer Uranium Mineralization Potential in Keonjhar QPCs: Mineralogy, Source Terrain and Geochemical Proxies

Table 7.1. Major oxide composition (in wt %) of sandstone, mudstone, shale and conglomerates (QPC).

Table 7.2. Trace element concentration (in ppm) of sandstone, mudstone, shale and conglomerates (QPC).

Table 7.3. Rare Earth Element concentration (in ppm) of sandstone, mudstone, shale and conglomerates (QPC).

Table 7.4. Correlation matrix of major elements with U and Th.

Table 7.5. Correlation matrix of trace elements with U and Th.

Table 7.6. Correlation matrix of LREEs with U and Th.

Table 7.7. Correlation matrix of HREEs with U and Th.

Chapter 8

U-Pb Detrital Zircon Geochronology of Iron Ore Conglomerates of the Chamakpur Member: Implications for the Origin of High-grade Iron Ore

Table 8.1. Major Iron Ore Deposits of the World showing age of the host BIF succession and available age data of ore formation from some of the deposits.

Abstract

The Keonjhar siliciclastics in the Singhbhum craton, eastern India, represents one of the best preserved examples of Mesoarchean sedimentation. This PhD dissertation programme throws light on the depositional system and nature of Mesoarchean upper crust from a collective study of internal stratigraphic development, depositional settings and provenance. The succession is classified here as a formal lithostratigraphic unit of the rank of formation and named as Keonjhar Quartzite. Two members have been proposed, namely, the Asurkhol Member that forms the lower conglomerate-pebbly sandstone-coarser sandstone dominated part and a *lentil* of iron ore clast-bearing conglomerate at the upper part, namely, the Chamakpur Member. Facies analysis reveals that the lower part of the succession is dominated by mass-flow deposits of conglomerates from proximal subaerial fan which grades upwards to cross-stratified and wavy bedded mature arenites of shelf depositional setting. The sequence includes a LST with Incised Valley Fills from FSST followed by a TST and TST-HST.

Petrographic study depicts recycled orogen to craton interior provenance. SEM-CL fabric analysis of the quartz framework grains reveals predominance of plutonic quartz over metamorphic type and suggests that high-grade components from collisional geodynamics were not significant in the Paleo-Mesoarchean upper continental crust in the Singhbhum craton.

The superchondritic Hf isotopic compositions expressed as ϵ_{Hf} values against their stratigraphic ages from the detrital zircon LA-ICPMS U-Pb-Lu-Hf compositions suggest depleted mantle source and juvenile crustal components and possible onset of accretionary plate tectonics.

Uranium mineralization in the basal QPCs reveals U-concentration in the U-Ti oxides and indicates supergene mobilization of U. Geochemical proxies suggest a passive margin setting with cratonic as well as active margin components. The REE pattern with negative Eu-anomaly indicates the presence of differentiated upper crust suitable for the source of U-Th minerals for the U-QPCs.

The iron ore conglomerate yielded detrital zircon U-Pb ages of around 3.0 Ga. The hard ore clasts in the conglomerate suggest that the ore formation in the source terrain predated the deposition of the conglomerate and hence the primary iron ore genesis at the source from where the ore clasts were derived should be at least >3.0 Ga event.